

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/752,057	0/752,057 01/06/2004		Louis Jules Roger Marie Maes	JANS-0038/JAB-1460-DIV	6146
23377	7590	12/14/2006	EXAMINER		INER
		SHBURN LLP	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
CIRA CENT 2929 ARCH	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	A 19104-2891		1616	
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/752,057	MAES ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Sabiha Qazi	1616
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 (</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) 15-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany accompany and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	awn from consideration. for election requirement. fer. cepted or b) objected to by the less that the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the less that the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to by the less than the drawing(s) is objected to be desired to be d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Art Unit: 1616

Non-Final Office Action

Claims 15-21 are pending. No claim is allowed at this time. Acknowledgement is made of the response and election of group I (Claims 15-18) and election of species of compound 3 filed on 10/5/06. Non-elected invention is withdrawn from consideration.

Summary of this Office Action dated December 08, 2006

- 1. Response to Remarks
- 2. Information Disclosure Statement
- 3. Copending Applications
- 4. Specification
- 5. Double Patenting Rejection
- 6. Communication

Art Unit: 1616

Response to Remarks

Restriction is made Final.

In order to advance the prosecution Applicant may consider calling the Examiner to discuss the

issues surrounding the Application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure

statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information

submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be

incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless

the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been

considered.

Copending Applications

Applicants must bring to the attention of the examiner, or other Office official involved

with the examination of a particular application, information within their knowledge as to other

copending United States applications, which are "material to patentability" of the application in

question. MPEP 2001.06(b). See Dayco Products Inc. v. Total Containment Inc., 66 USPQ2d

1801 (CA FC 2003).

Application/Control Number: 10/752,057 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 15-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-14 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,872,713. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Triterpene saponins has been claimed in the US '713 which are numbered as compounds 1 to 6 according to different substituents R2, R3 and R4 of the tripterpene skeleton. In the present application the claims as drafted are drawn to tripterpenes obtained by the process described. Instant claimed tripterpenes has been patented in US '713. The structure of compounds 1 to 6 is not in present claims, the properties such as molecular weight and absorption data has been claimed without the structure. The properties are associated with the compounds, which had been claimed earlier.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,057

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant may consider amending the claims to distinguish clearly from the claims of the

issued patent.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0622.

The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting

supervisor, Johann Richter, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D.

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER